# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Ling Ye

Key Laboratory for Supramolecular Structures and Materials, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: Lingyjlu@yahoo.com.cn

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 292 KMean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.005 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.053 wR factor = 0.151 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. Bis[ $\mu$ -2,2'-(butane-1,4-diyl)di-1*H*-benzimidazole- $\kappa^2 N^3$ : $N^{3'}$ ]bis[diacetatocobalt(II)] ethanol disolvate

In the title compound,  $[Co_2(CH_3COO)_4(C_{18}H_{18}N_4)_2]$ -2C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH, the asymmetric unit consists of half each of two independent complex molecules, which are located about centres of inversion, and two molecules of ethanol. Each Co<sup>II</sup> atom is four-coordinated by two N atoms from two 2,2'- (butane-1,4-diyl)-1*H*-benzimidazole ligands and two O atoms from two acetate ions in a slightly distorted tetrahedral coordination environment. In the crystal packing, the molecules are linked by a complex three-dimensional network of N-H···O, O-H···O and O-H···N hydrogen-bond interactions.

# Comment

The field of coordination compounds formed through the appropriate selection of metal ions and multifunctional ligands has been developing rapidly and attracting increasing attention, due to their potential applications (Fujita *et al.*, 1994). To date, much of the work has focused on coordination compounds with rigid ligands (Carlucci *et al.*, 1994), while the study of flexible ligands is relatively rare (Ma *et al.*, 2003). The 2,2'-(butane-1,4-diyl)dibenzimidazole ligand (L), a derivative of benzimidazole, has received considerably less attention in the chemistry of coordination compounds (Yang *et al.*, 2005). Here, the crystal structure of the title compound, (I), based on the ligand L, is reported.



The asymmetric unit of (I) contains half each of two independent complex molecules having  $C_i$  symmetry. Each Co<sup>II</sup> centre is four-coordinated by two N atoms from two L ligands and two O atoms from two acetate ions, giving a slightly distorted tetrahedral coordination (Fig. 1). Each Co<sup>II</sup> atom is bridged by two L ligands, resulting in two unique cyclic units with Co<sup>II</sup>...Co<sup>II</sup> separations of 7.608 (2) and 6.990 (2) Å. The Co–N distances (Table 1) are shorter than those found in other related Co<sup>II</sup> complexes [2.132 (4) and 2.159 (4) Å; Ma *et al.*, 2000].

Received 5 June 2006 Accepted 14 July 2006

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved



## Figure 1

The two independent molecules of (I), with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 15% probability level. Ethanol molecules and H atoms have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry codes: (iii) 2 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z; (vi) 1 - x, 2 - y, -z.]

In the crystal structure of (I), the –NH group and the ethanol molecules play the roles of both acceptors and donors for hydrogen bonds, whereas the coordinated acetate anions act only as acceptors of hydrogen bonds (Table 2).

## **Experimental**

Ligand *L* was synthesized according to the method reported by Berends & Stephan (1984). A mixture of  $Co(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (1.0 mmol) and *L* (1.0 mmol) in ethanol (20 ml) was refluxed for 30 min and a purple solution was obtained. This solution was allowed to stand in air at room temperature for two weeks, yielding purple crystals of (I) (yield 29% based on Co).

### Crystal data

$[Co_2(C_2H_3O_2)_4(C_{18}H_{18}N_4)_2]$	$\gamma = 75.17 \ (3)^{\circ}$
$2C_2H_6O$	$V = 2568.6 (11) \text{ Å}^3$
$M_r = 1026.90$	Z = 2
Triclinic, P1	$D_x = 1.328 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
a = 12.723 (3) Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
b = 14.082 (3) Å	$\mu = 0.71 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 15.462 (3) Å	T = 292 (2) K
$\alpha = 73.74 \ (3)^{\circ}$	Block, purple
$\beta = 88.11 \ (3)^{\circ}$	$0.41 \times 0.37 \times 0.31 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID	21073 measured reflections
diffractometer	10986 independent reflections
$\omega$ scans	6829 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$R_{\rm int} = 0.046$
(ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.4^{\circ}$
$T_{\min} = 0.751, \ T_{\max} = 0.800$	
Refinement	
$\mathbf{D}$ for every $\mathbf{E}^2$	TT

#### Refinement on $F^2$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.053$ $wR(F^2) = 0.151$ S = 0.9410986 reflections 613 parameters

H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0886P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.01 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.53 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ 

T	ab	le	1				
~							

Selected geometric parameters (Å,  $^\circ).$ 

N1-Co1	2.055 (3)	O1-Co1	2.006 (2)
N3-Co1 <sup>i</sup>	2.063 (3)	O3-Co1	2.005 (2)
N5-Co2	2.045 (3)	O5-Co2	1.966 (3)
N7–Co2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.053 (3)	O7–Co2	1.969 (2)
O3-Co1-O1	138.91 (10)	O5-Co2-O7	136.66 (11)
O3-Co1-N1	99.63 (11)	O5-Co2-N5	100.24 (11)
O1-Co1-N1	102.51 (10)	O7-Co2-N5	103.01 (10)
O3-Co1-N3i	100.38 (10)	O5-Co2-N7 <sup>ii</sup>	103.71 (11)
O1-Co1-N3 <sup>i</sup>	106.23 (11)	O7-Co2-N7 <sup>ii</sup>	100.22 (10)
N1-Co1-N3 <sup>i</sup>	105.72 (10)	N5-Co2-N7 <sup>ii</sup>	112.80 (10)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z.

 Table 2

 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å,  $^{\circ}$ ).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
N2-H2 $A$ ···O8 <sup>iii</sup> N4-H4 $A$ ···O10 <sup>iv</sup>	0.86 0.86	1.87 1.93	2.707 (4) 2.780 (4)	166 170
$N8 - H8 \cdots O9$ $N6 - H6 \cdots O4^{i}$	0.86	1.87	2.720(4) 2.719(4)	167 172
$O9-H9\cdots O2^{v}$ $O10-H10\cdots O6$	0.82	2.03 1.89	2.636(4) 2.687(4)	130 165

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 1; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; (iv) x + 1, y, z + 1; (v) x - 1, y, z.

All H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding atoms, with C–H = 0.93 Å, N–H = 0.86 Å and O–H = 0.82 Å, and with  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm C,N,O})$  or  $1.5U_{\rm eq}({\rm methyl}\ {\rm C})$ . The maximum residual electron density is located 0.45 Å from atom C47.

Data collection: *PROCESS-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: *PROCESS-AUTO*; data reduction: *PROCESS-AUTO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL-Plus* (Sheldrick, 1990); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

The author thanks Jilin University for supporting this work.

## References

- Berends, H. P. & Stephan, D. W. (1984). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 93, 173–178.
- Carlucci, L., Ciani, G., Proserpio, D. M. & Sironi, A. (1994). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 2755–2756.
- Fujita, M., Kwon, Y. J., Washizu, S. & Ogura, K. (1994). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 1151–1152.
- Higashi, T. (1995). ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Ma, J.-F., Liu, J.-F., Liu, Y.-C., Xing, Y., Jia, H.-Q. & Lin, Y.-H. (2000). New J.
- Chem. 24, 759–763. Ma, J.-F., Yang, J., Zheng, G.-L., Li, L. & Liu, J.-F. (2003). Inorg. Chem. 42, 7531–7534
- Rigaku (1998). PROCESS-AUTO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL-Plus. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Yang, J., Ma, J.-F. & Liu, Y.-Y. (2005). Acta Cryst. C61, m101-m103.